PREA AUDIT REPORT

□ Interim ⊠ Final

COMMUNITY CONFINEMENT FACILITIES

Date of report: August 5, 2016

| Auditor Information | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|--|--|
| Auditor name: Alton Basi | kerville | | | |
| Address: 3115 Rock Cress | Lane Sandy Hook, VA 23153 | | | |
| Email: abville42@aol.com | | | | |
| Telephone number: (804 | 4) 980-6379 | | | |
| Date of facility visit: Jul | y 12, 2016 | | | |
| Facility Information | | | | |
| Facility name: Hinds Rest | titution Center | | | |
| Facility physical addres | s: 429 S. Gallatin St. Jackson, MS | 39203 | | |
| Facility mailing address | s: (if different from above) Click he | re to enter text. | | |
| Facility telephone num | ber: (662)726-2375 | | | |
| The facility is: | ☐ Federal | ⊠ State | | ☐ County |
| | ☐ Military | ☐ Municipal | | ☐ Private for profit |
| | ☐ Private not for profit | | | |
| | ☐ Community treatment center☐ Halfway house☐ Alcohol or drug rehabilitation | center | ☑ Community-l☐ Mental healt☐ Other | pased confinement facility h facility |
| Name of facility's Chief | Executive Officer: Shondra Mat | | | |
| Number of staff assigne | ed to the facility in the last 12 | months: 11 | | 1 |
| Designed facility capac | i ty: 92 | | | |
| Current population of f | acility: 88 | | | |
| Facility security levels/ | inmate custody levels: minimur | n | | |
| Age range of the popul | ation: 20-60 | | | |
| Name of PREA Complia | nce Manager: Shondra Matthews | Tit | le: Commander | |
| Email address: smatthews@mdoc.state.ms.us | | Те | lephone numbe | r: 601-354-0062 |
| Agency Information | | | | |
| Name of agency: Mississ | sippi Department of Corrections | | | |
| Governing authority or | parent agency: (if applicable) | lick here to enter | text. | |
| Physical address: 633 N. | . State Street Jackson, MS 39202 | | | |
| Mailing address: (if diffe Telephone number: 60 | , | | | |
| Agency Chief Executive | Officer | | | |
| Name: Marshall Fisher | | Tit | le: Commissioner, | MSDOC |
| Email address: mfisher@ | mdoc.state.ms.us | Те | lephone numbe | r: 601-359-5600 ext. 5621 |
| Agency-Wide PREA Cod | ordinator | | | |
| Name: Marcia Stingley | | Tit | le: State-wide PRI | EA |
| Email address: mstingley | @mdoc.state.ms.us | Те | lephone numbe | r: 601-573-5104 |

AUDITFINDINGS

NARRATIVE

The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) on-site audit of Hinds Restitution Center (HRC) was conducted on July 12, 2016. The audit was performed by Alton Baskerville, Certified PREA auditor and assisted by Phyllis Baskerville, a retired corrections warden.

Six weeks in advance of the audit, notices, with contact information for the auditor, were placed throughout the facility to alert residents and staff of the impending audit. The Pre-Audit Questionnaire was submitted to the auditor two weeks prior to the audit. Other documentation submitted included policies, procedures, organization charts, posters, inmate handbook, and other PREA related materials. A review of the materials raised no questions about the pending audit.

The audit team was escorted to the facility by Ms. Marcia Stingley. We were greeted by Commander Shondra Matthews, several members of her staff, Mr. Todd King, Community Corrections Director, and Ms. Christy Gutherz, Deputy Commissioner, Community Corrections. We had the entry meeting in the administrative conference room where introductions and audit expectations were shared.

The audit team was led on a tour of the facility by Commander Matthews. The dormitory is in the rear of the building and includes a room where tools are stored, a room with supplies and a room that houses six beds for inmates who provide "camp support". Beyond these three rooms is a dorm which has 39 doubled bunks for residents, rest rooms and showers are in the dorm. There are partitions in the rest rooms and curtains in the showers that provide privacy for the residents. The center has a capacity of 84 beds. On the day of our audit, there were 61 inmates assigned; 59 residents and 4 offenders (camp support).

Three of four inmate phones were working. A work order was submitted for the broken phone. PREA notices were on the bulletins boards in the dormitory. Notices of the audit were seen throughout the center.

Video cameras were mounted in the front and the rear of the housing bay. In addition, two cameras were located in the dining area which is used as a multi-purpose area. Camera monitors are viewed in the control room. The cameras were installed in the center in March, 2016.

The kitchen area was secured and had all storage areas locked. The facility was very clean, neat and orderly. The staff was professional and experienced. We spoke to 12 residents while touring the housing unit. We explained why the audit team was there, and that the team would conduct private interviews of residents chosen at random.

After completing the tour, we were given private offices to review audit files and to conduct interviews. We interviewed ten employees, including administrative, program, volunteer and security staff from both 12-hour shifts. Staff was knowledgeable concerning PREA and how to respond to an incident. Documentation verified recent training of all staff interviewed.

A total of ten (10) residents were interviewed. All were familiar with PREA and acknowledged receiving PREA training upon arrival at the center. Written documentation supported the training of all residents interviewed. There were no special needs residents assigned to the center; nor were there any gay, transgender, intersex, residents at the center.

The audit team had an exit meeting with Commander Matthews, Mr. Todd King, Ms. Gutherz, and Ms. Stingley in the administrative conference room. The team informed them that they met the requirements of all 39 standards. We congratulated them on this accomplishment. The strong support of top management in the presence of Mr. Todd King and Ms. Gutherz was noted as a key to the success of this audit.

DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS

Hinds Restitution Center (HRC) is one of four restitution centers in the Mississippi Department of Corrections. HRC is located at 429 S. Gallatin Street, Jackson, Mississippi. The center is located in an area of mixed commercial/light industrial buildings just south of Jackson City Center. HRC was established in July of 1985. The purpose of the program is to house residents who have been court ordered to pay fines, court costs, restitution and other court ordered payments.

The physical plant consists of a single story structure built on 0.80 acres. It is a 1950 construction built with concrete block and a brick veneer. The center is surrounded by a six foot chain link fence topped with barbed wire. The front of the building adjoins the sidewalk, and is separated by a locked glass door. The facility has a bed capacity of 84. There is an open dorm that has 39 double bunks for the residents and a smaller rear room that has three double bunks for inmates assigned for camp support.

The front of the building consists of a spacious reception area and a series of offices for administrative and program staff. There is also A restroom, and a staff break room. The kitchen, dining/multi-purpose room and laundry area are located in the center of the building. The entire facility is air conditioned.

SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

| пкс | was louis | d to be in compliance with all FREA standards for Community Commement Facilities. |
|------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Num | ber of sta | andards exceeded: 0 |
| Num | ber of sta | andards met: 39 |
| Num | ber of sta | andards not met: 0 |
| Num | ber of sta | andards not applicable: 0 |
| Star | ndard 11 | 5.211 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA Coordinator |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | that r detail respo Additi | dississippi Department of Corrections (MDOC) has a written policy, MDOC Policy 20-14, adopted in October 2004 mandates zero tolerance towards all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. MDOC Policy 20-14-01 also is implementation of the agency's zero tolerance policy, defines prohibited behavior, outlines strategies and insess to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and details sanctions for violators. ionally, MDOC Policy 03-17, adopted in December 1992, includes definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding all harassment and sanctions. |
| | It des | C has an agency-wide PREA coordinator position, identified as Branch Director II in MDOC's organizational chart signates PREA compliance responsibilities to the Correctional Supervisor position, and this position reports to the wide PREA Coordinator, Ms. Marcia Stingley. |
| Star | ndard 11 | 5.212 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | the la | C has entered into or renewed a contract for the confinement of residents on or after August 20, 2012, or since ast PREA audit. Such contracts do require contractors to adopt and comply with PREA standards. Additionally, contracts do require MDOC to monitor the contractor's compliance with the PREA standards. |
| Stai | ndard 11 | 5.213 Supervision and monitoring |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | MDO0 have | C Policy 20-14-01 and MDOC Policy 03-29 require all facilities to meet the requirements of this standard. There been no deviations from the staffing plan in the past 12 months at HRC. Further, HRC had no reports of |

inadequacy for this standard.

| Standa | tandard 115.215 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | | |
|--------|--|---|--|
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) | |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) | |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) | |
| | MDOC Policy 16.07 and MDOC S.O.P. 20-14-01 govern PREA compliance for this standard. HRC does not conduct cross-gender strip or visual body cavity searches of residents. During the unit tour, auditors noted announcements being made when females entered the housing units. Interviews with staff and residents verified the practice of female staff making announcements. Observation of the toilet facilities confirmed that residents can shower and perform bodily functions without the opposite gender viewing their genitalia. | | |
| Standa | rd 115. | 216 Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient | |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) | |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) | |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) | |
| | opportu sexual a effectiv | Policy 20-14-01 has established procedures to provide disabled and limited English proficiency inmates equal unity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to abuse and sexual harassment. MDOC has contracted with an agency for interpreter services to ensure e communication with inmates with disabilities and limited English proficiency. Staff indicated that resident exters would not be used in reporting an allegation of sexual abuse or harassment. | |
| Standa | ırd 115. | 217 Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) | |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) | |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) | |
| | previou activity least ev months | Policy 20-14-01 prohibits the hiring or promoting of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has sly been convicted or adjudicated to have engaged, or attempted to engage, in forced or nonconsensual sexual or sexual abuse. MDOC Policy 20-14-01 also requires criminal background record checks to be performed at very five years for current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates. In the past 12, no new persons who may have contact with inmates have been hired. The policy is consistent with the ments of the standard. | |
| Standa | rd 115. | .218 Upgrades to facilities and technologies | |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) | |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) | |

| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|
| | August | s not acquired any new facilities or made any substantial expansions or modifications of existing facilities since 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit. HRC installed a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system 1, 2016. |
| Standa | ırd 115. | 221 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | outlined forensic Memora | Corrections Investigation Division is responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations as in MDOC Policy 12-05. Additional policies that outline evidence protocol and requirements for medical exams are found in MDOC Policy 16-14 and MDOC Policy 20-14-01. MDOC has signed a indum of Understanding with the Mississippi Coalition Against Sexual Assault (MSCASA) to make as services available to victims of sexual assault. |
| | cost to t | ns of sexual abuse have access to a forensic medical examination at an outside facility without any them. Examinations are conducted by SANEs/SAFEs when available. Within the past 12 months, d no exams. |
| Standa | ırd 115. | 222 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | conduct 20-14-0 | 20-14-01, Policy 12-05 Criteria for Corrections Investigations meets the requirements of this standard. MDOC is an administrative review for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as stated in MDOC Policy 1. During the past 12 months, HRC received no allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, of which I in administrative investigation. This policy is available on the MDOC website. |
| Standa | ord 115. | 231 Employee training |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | on all of requirer agency | Policy 20-14-01 states that all MDOC employees who may have contact with inmates will be trained the enumerated PREA training curriculum requirements. Employees receive training on PREA nents at least once a year during annual refresher training. Between trainings, MDOC employs directives to provide employees with information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and nent. Staff demonstrated their knowledge about the importance of PREA during the interviews. |

Standard 115.232 Volunteer and contractor training

| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
|--------|---------------------------------|---|
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | policies dedicate PREA ar | ins all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates on their responsibilities under the agency's and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response. HRC es a section in its Volunteer Handbook, a tool used for training volunteers and contractors, to educate them on the agency's applicable policies and procedures. In the past 12 months, one (1) volunteer received training e policies. |
| Standa | ard 115. | 233 Resident education |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | facility v | Policy 20-14-01 meets the requirements of this standard. Interviews with randomly chosen residents (using the weekly resident population log) confirmed that they had the PREA training, knew about the policy, and felt safe Files of the interviewed residents contained signed documentation of PREA training completion. |
| Standa | ard 115. | 234 Specialized training: Investigations |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | investig docume | conducts its own investigations of allegations of sexual abuse, and MDOC Policy 20-14-01 requires all ators to be trained in sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. The auditor reviewed entation confirming that the (2) two investigators who are responsible for PREA investigations at HRC d PREA investigative training in compliance with this standard. |
| Standa | ard 115. | .235 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | Correcti received | es not have medical and mental health practitioners on site. Residents are referred to Central Mississippi ional Institution (CMCI) for treatment as needed. Telephonic interview with the nurse confirmed that she had d the agency's required PREA training in accordance with MDOC Policy 20-14-01. Medical staff at HRC or CMCI conduct forensic examinations. |

| Stand | ard 115 | 5.241 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness |
|-------|---------------------------|--|
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | other i | Policy 20-14-01 requires all inmates to be screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing nmates within 72 hours of their intake. Interviews with residents confirmed that they were screened within 72 of their intake. |
| Stand | ard 115 | 5.242 Use of screening information |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | with th sexuall | uses information from the risk screening to inform housing, bed, work, education and program assignments be goal of keeping separate inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from inmates at high risk of being y abusive. Residents with a high risk of being sexual abusive are not assigned to community work centers. akes individualized determinations on how to ensure the safety of each inmate. |
| Stand | ard 115 | 5.251 Resident reporting |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | abuse to such | has established procedures allowing for multiple internal ways for inmates and staff to privately report sexual or harassment of inmates, retaliation and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed in incidents. MDOC has signed a memorandum of understanding with the Mississippi Coalition Against Sexual to provide their contact information for residents who wish to confidentially report incidents to an outside |
| | Anothe interview felt saf | enally, HRC residents and staff may call a confidential hotline to report suspected instances of sexual assault. For avenue for residents to report incidents is to file a grievance; staff may complete an incident report. During news, residents stated that they could talk to any of the staff if there was any issue. Several expressed that they are and knew of the PREA safety postings on the bulletin boards in the housing units, dining hall, and other on areas. |
| Stand | ard 115 | 5.252 Exhaustion of administrative remedies |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | | |

MDOC Policies 20-14-01 and 20-08 establish administrative procedures for dealing with inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. Resident interview responses indicate that they are aware of their right to file a grievance to address a PREA allegation. In the past 12 months, no grievances were filed of alleged past sexual abuse. In the past 12 months, no grievances were filed for imminent sexual abuse with a final decision reached within five days.

| Standa | ard 115. | 253 Resident access to outside confidential support services |
|--------|----------------------------------|---|
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | provide | has signed a memorandum of understanding with the Mississippi Coalition Against Sexual Assault (MCASA) to their contact information for inmates who wish to confidentially report incidents to an outside entity. Its are provided the contact information for MCASA through distributed written materials. |
| Standa | ard 115. | 254 Third-party reporting |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | resident | OC website posts a designated phone number for third parties to call and confidentially report incidents of t sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The designated phone number is also visible on PREA Tip Line posters in itution. These posters were observed during the unit tour. When residents were interviewed, their responses ed that they knew of the posters and the information contained. |
| Standa | ard 115 | .261 Staff and agency reporting duties |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | incident the poli to share | Policy 20-14-01 requires staff to immediately report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an a sexual abuse or harassment, retaliation, or staff neglect or violation of PREA responsibilities. Additionally, cy states that staff must not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report beyond what is necessary a for appropriate follow-up actions. During staff interviews, it was clear that they knew the appropriate and procedures. |
| Standa | ard 115 | .262 Agency protection duties |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |

MDOC Policy 20-14-01 states that when the agency or facility learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, immediate action must be taken to protect the resident. In the past 12 months, there have been no cases at HRC where a resident was determined to be in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse.

| Stan | dard 11 | 5.263 Reporting to other confinement facilities |
|------|-------------------------|--|
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | anoth | C Policy 20-14-01 states that upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at ler facility, the facility head or designee will notify the appropriate staff in the agency where the alleged abuse red. In the past 12 months, HRC received no allegations that a resident was abused while confined at another y. |
| Stan | dard 11 | 5.264 Staff first responder duties |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | instar were secur | e past 12 months, there were no allegations at HRC that a resident was sexually abused. There were no nees when the security staff first responder had to separate the alleged victim and the abuser. In no instances staff notified in time to collect physical evidence of the sexual assault, and appropriate steps were taken to e such evidence. There were no instances in the past 12 months where non-security staff served as first inders to an allegation of resident sexual abuse. |
| Stan | dard 11 | 5.265 Coordinated response |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | to cod | C has developed a written institutional plan, reflected in the Sexual Assault Response and Containment Checklist, ordinate actions among first responders, medical staff, investigators and leadership taken in response to an ent of sexual abuse. Interviews confirmed that staff were aware of their specific responsibilities in response to artion of sexual abuse. |
| Stan | dard 11 | 5.266 Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | |

| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
|-------|-------------|--|
| | abusers | nas not entered into a collective bargaining agreement that limits its ability to remove alleged staff sexual from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether what extent discipline is warranted. |
| Stand | ard 115 | .267 Agency protection against retaliation |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | with inv | Policy 20-14-01 states that all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate restigations will be protected from retaliation by other inmates or staff and monitored by HRC designated staff. past 12 months, there have been no reported incidents of retaliation. |
| Stand | ard 115 | 271 Criminal and administrative agency investigations |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | assault | Policies 20-14-10 and 12-05 require that an investigation is conducted and documented whenever a sexual or threat is reported, and for all substantiated allegations that appear to be criminal to be referred for ation. Since August 20, 2012, HRC reported no allegations referred for prosecution. |
| Stand | ard 115 | .272 Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | | Policy 20-14-01 states that no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence will be used in ining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. |
| Stand | ard 115, | .273 Reporting to residents |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | MDOC I | Policy 20-14-01 states that following an investigation the agency will inform the offender as to whether the |

MDOC Policy 20-14-01 states that following an investigation the agency will inform the offender as to whether the allegation has been substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. In the past 12 months, HRC had no residents file a PREA Audit Report

complaint alleging sexual abuse.

| Standa | Standard 115.276 Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
|--------|---|--|
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | violating | Policy 20-14-01 states that staff will be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for g agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. In the past 12 months, no HRC staff were found in of PREA policies, and therefore, no disciplinary sanctions have been warranted. |
| Standa | ard 115. | .277 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | contact | Policy 20-14-01 states that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse will be prohibited from with inmates and will be reported to the Corrections Investigations Division. In the past 12 months, HRC had pations of sexual abuse against contractors or volunteers. |
| Standa | ard 115. | .278 Disciplinary sanctions for residents |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | process | Policy 20-14-01 states that inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary solution of following an administrative finding that the inmate sexually abused another inmate. In the past 12 months, d no administrative findings of resident on resident sexual abuse. |
| Standa | ard 115. | .282 Access to emergency medical and mental health services |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | | Policy 12-40-01 provides for timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention is without any cost to the HRC resident. |

| Stand | ard 115 | 5.283 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers |
|-------|---|--|
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | | Policy 20-14-01 states that residents will be offered medical and mental health evaluation as appropriate ent to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse consistent with the community level of care. |
| Stand | ard 115 | 5.286 Sexual abuse incident reviews |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| Stand | investi conclus PREA I with Pl | Policy 20-14-01 states that it will conduct sexual abuse review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse gation unless the allegation has been determined unfounded. This review will take place 30 days after the sion of the investigation and will be conducted by the facility head, the statewide PREA coordinator and the Manager. The Annual PREA Report documents MDOC's efforts to improve policies for more effective compliance REA. |
| Jeana | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | collect | ant to MDOC Policy 20-14-01, MDOC uses a standard form and definitions sheet to facilitate the uniform ion of data for every sexual abuse allegation. MDOC Policy states that the agency will collect incident data at nnually, and upon request, will provide such data for the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice. |
| Stand | ard 115 | 5.288 Data review for corrective action |
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | \boxtimes | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | effectiv | Policy 20-14-01 requires the agency to review data collected in order to assess and to improve the veness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training. Annual reports, red by the MDOC Commissioner, containing institutional assessment information are posted online at |

| Standa | rd 115 | 289 Data storage, publication, and destruction |
|------------------------|-------------|---|
| | | Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) |
| | | Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) |
| | | Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) |
| | | Policy 20-14-01 states that the agency will ensure that data is securely retained, and personal identifiers are d before making data on sexual abuse publicly available. |
| AUDIT I certify | | TIFICATION |
| | \boxtimes | The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge. |
| | | No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and |
| | | I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template. |

Auditor Signature

Date